1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	
3		
4	DEPARTMENT S35 HONORABLE STANFORD REICHERT, JUDGE	
5	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER) CASE NO. RCVRS 51010 DISTRICT)	
7	Petitioner,)	
8	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,	
9	Defendants.)	
10)	
11		
12		
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EX PARTE HEARING	
14	FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2019	
15		
16		
17	APPEARANCES:	
18	FOR THE PLAINTIFF BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL LLP	
19	DISTRICT, WATERMASTER: BY: BRADLEY HERREMA 2049 Century Park East	
20	Suite 3550 Los Angeles, CA 90067	
21	100 111gc100, 011 3000,	
22	FOR THE CITY OF NOSSAMAN LLP ONTARIO et al.,: BY: FREDERIC A. FUDACZ	
23	777 South Figueroa Street 34th Floor	
24	Los Angeles, CA 90017	
25	FOR THE JURUPA ELLISON SCHNEIDER HARRIS &	
26	COMMUNITY SERVICES DONLAN, LLP DISTRICT: BY: SHAWNDA GRADY	
27	2600 Capitol Avenue Suite 400	
28	Sacramento, CA 95816-5905	

ĺ		
1		
2	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
3		
4	FOR THE CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT:	
5	VILLET WITTER DISTRICT.	3390 University Avenue 5th Floor
6		Riverside, CA 92501
7	FOR THE CITY OF POMONA.	LAGERLOF SENECAL GOSNEY & KRUSE,
8	TOR THE CITY OF FORIORI.	LLP BY: THOMAS S. BUNN III
9		301 North Lake Avenue 10th Floor
10		Pasadena, CA 91101-5123
11	FOR THE OVERLYING AG	EGOSCUE LAW GROUP, INC.
12	POOL:	BY: TRACY J. EGOSCUE 3777 Long Beach Boulevard
13		Suite 280 Long Beach, CA 90807
14		
15	FOR WATERMASTER:	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK BY: SCOTT S. SLATER
16		1021 Anacapa Street 2nd Floor
17		Santa Barbara, CA 93101
18	FOR THE MONTE VISTA	KIDMAN GAGEN LAW LLP
19	WATER DISTRICT:	BY: ANDREW B. GAGEN 2030 Main Street
20		Suite 1300 Irvine, CA 92614
21		·
22	FOR THREE VALLEYS MUNICIPAL WATER	BRUNICK, McELHANEY & KENNEDY BY: STEVEN M. KENNEDY
23	DISRICT:	1839 Commercenter West San Bernardino, CA 92408
24		
25		
26		
27	REPORTED BY:	KERRY K. MONTUORI, C.S.R. C.S.R. NO. 11516.
28		

```
SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2019
 1
                           A.M. SESSION
 2
             THE COURT: All right. So let's call this
 3
    matter. This is the Chino Basin Watermaster. It's
 4
    Case -- okay. So I'm calling the Watermaster case. This
 5
    is RCVRS 51010.
 6
             So everybody come on up, please, and I'll take
 7
 8
    appearances first in the courtroom and then on the phone.
             So let's get everyone arranged.
 9
10
             MR. GAGEN: Good morning, your Honor. Andrew
11
    Gagen on behalf of Monte Vista Water District, the
    applicant.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Gagen.
13
14
             Give me just a moment while I jot some notes
    here.
15
             Okay. And I recognize Mr. Slater. Good morning,
16
17
    Mr. Slater.
             MR. SLATER: Good morning. Scott Slater,
18
    S-l-a-t-e-r, on behalf of Watermaster.
19
             THE COURT: Okay. And then let me -- actually,
20
    I've got kind of -- and I see Mr. Herrema. Good morning,
21
22
    Mr. Herrema.
23
             MR. HERREMA: Good morning, your Honor. Brad
24
    Herrema, H-e-r-r-e-m-a, on behalf of Watermaster.
25
             THE COURT: Okay. And then we're gonna go back
26
    around. So, Miss Egoscue, let me get your appearance
    next, please.
27
             MS. EGOSCUE: Thank you. Good morning, your
28
```

```
Honor. Tracy Egoscue for the Ag Pool.
 1
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 2
                                           And next?
             MR. FUDACZ: Morning, your Honor. Fred Fudacz on
 3
    behalf of the City of Ontario.
 4
             THE COURT: Okay. Got it. And?
 5
             MR. BUNN: Good morning, your Honor. Thomas Bunn
 6
    on behalf of the City of Pomona.
 7
 8
             THE COURT: Okay. And?
             MR. ANDERSON: Good morning, your Honor.
                                                       Steven
 9
    Anderson for Cucamonga Valley Water District.
10
11
             THE COURT: Okay. Okay. And?
             MR. KENNEDY: Good morning, your Honor. Steve
12
13
    Kennedy on behalf of Three Valleys Municipal Water
14
    District.
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Got it. And is that everybody
15
    in the courtroom, then? I think it is. Yes.
16
             Okay. So it's a little -- always a little tough
17
    to take appearances on the phone, but whoever speaks up
18
    first, I'll try to identify and we'll pick it up from
19
    there.
20
21
             So whom do I have on the phone?
             MS. GRADY: Shawnda Grady on behalf of Jurupa
22
23
    Community Service District.
             THE COURT: Okay. Can I get your name again,
24
25
    please? I can just barely hear you.
26
             MS. GRADY: I apologize. Shawnda, S-h-a-w-n-d-a,
    and my last name is Grady, G-r-a-d-y.
27
28
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. And that was for Jurupa.
                                                          Ι
```

```
got that.
1
             Okay. Anybody else on the phone? Going once.
 2
    Going twice.
 3
             JUDICIAL ASSISTANT:
                                  Allen Hubsch --
 4
                         Oh, Mr. Hubsch, are you on the phone?
 5
             THE COURT:
             COURT ATTENDANT: He signed up but no check-in.
 6
                         Well, he signed up -- Mr. Hubsch
 7
             THE COURT:
 8
    signed up -- signed up for court call but is not on the
    phone so I'll ask one more time. Mr. Hubsch, are you on
 9
    the phone? And that's H-u-b-s-c-h, if I recall.
10
11
             Okay. All rightie. Well, a couple things.
    First, what amazed me is that I got the ex parte
12
    application yesterday morning and the response to the
13
14
    ex parte application yesterday afternoon. That was pretty
    amazing. So thank you very much for the alacrity with
15
    which everyone responded.
16
17
              Second, I'm not gonna make any rulings today
    because I haven't had really a chance to digest
18
    everything; third, let me ask Mr. Gagen, if you would,
19
    if -- to help me analyze this, because I'm going to give
20
    you another date, and I'm gonna jump ahead a little bit.
21
    I'm thinking maybe a week or so to make a ruling on this,
22
23
    and then allow everybody else -- or set a briefing
    schedule because I've got a large number of people here
24
    and they all might want to chime in. And I need -- if
25
26
    that's true, I'll set a date a little bit farther out.
              But if you could just summarize for me,
27
    Mr. Gagen, preferably in ten easy words or less -- thanks
28
```

```
for laughing, getting the joke. Thanks -- why I should
 1
    either take the motion off -- Watermaster -- Watermaster's
 2
    motion off calendar or stay it?
 3
             Go ahead, please.
 4
             MR. GAGEN: Okay. Sure. So we start with Code
 5
    of Civil -- Civil Procedure 916 --
 6
             THE COURT:
 7
                         Okay.
             MR. GAGEN: -- which divests this Court of its
 8
    jurisdiction to enforce its 2017 order or any matters
 9
10
    embraced therein or affected thereby -- and I'm quoting
11
    916.
             THE COURT:
                         Right.
12
                         So we start with that. So this Court
13
             MR. GAGEN:
    has no jurisdiction regarding its 2017 order once the
14
    appeal was filed.
15
             The Court of Appeal issued a living remand for
16
17
    one purpose and one purpose only, which was to allow the
    parties on the appeal to file their motion to approve the
18
    amendments --
19
             THE COURT: Right. I got that. Okay.
20
             MR. GAGEN: -- and no other motion by no other
21
22
    party or Watermaster.
23
             THE COURT:
                        Okay. So that's essentially in a --
24
    in summary it?
2.5
             MR. GAGEN:
                         Correct.
26
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. Thanks.
             Mr. Slater, if you could do the same in
27
    preferably ten easy words or less, so I've got an overview
28
```

as I'm approaching this to help me analyze the motion and response and any additional paperwork that gets filed.

Go ahead, please.

MR. SLATER: Sure, your Honor. So I'll start with the basic proposition, which is this is less about the jurisdiction of the Court of Appeal, which has jurisdiction over six parties to the appeal, and less about your authority as the trial court judge with continuing jurisdiction.

The appellants chose a pathway to settlement which did not -- was not just an agreement inter se. It was an agreement that contemplated, A, an amendment of the judgment pursuant to which there are procedures and third-party rights; B, court-approved management agreements which have amendment provisions which must be complied with as a predicate; and, C, factual findings which are a predicate to the Court being able to make its ruling.

The direction from the Court of Appeal could not divest the Court of its continuing jurisdiction to consider those matters. By their own admission they are not amending your order. They are amending third-party matters which are within your plenary power and your continuing jurisdiction.

And so consequently it is about your authority to adjust those rights whether the Court of Appeal meant their, or, A, a motion. There are -- there is actually a subsequent direction from the Court of Appeal not cited in

Mr. Gagen's papers, which we will provide you -THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SLATER: -- which refers to quote, "a motion," unquote, several times. And I would say to you that since 2000, in the last 19 years that I've been involved, every effort to amend the judgment, amend the court management agreements has always been preceded by Watermaster, A, resolution and, B, motion recommending it to the Court. So that was the process that has always been used.

And finally I would say the interesting part here is, why are we here? Why -- why are we going through this procedural discussion when Watermaster's resolution and motion is to support and approve what the moving parties are seeking?

There is only one substantive difference. And so as you move away from today and you think about it, the only substantive difference arises from a request for assurance from the moving parties that they did not seek to subsequently attack your April 7 -- or 27, 2018, order; in other words, dismiss the appeal on these grounds and then come back and argue about other provisions of the ordinance, or the order, which would be, of course, res judicata on those elements.

So the sole substantive difference which divided and kept the Ag Pool in opposition to their motion and in support of our motion is they sought, as did Watermaster, a -- a confirmation from the Court that the safe yield

```
reset process will be as pursuant to your April 27, 2018,
 1
            That is the only substantive difference between
 2
    our resolution and our motion and theirs. There is no
 3
    other.
 4
              And so I would say -- submit to you there are
 5
    not dueling motions. There is a motion, a joinder, a
 6
    support for their motion with a supplement which brings
 7
 8
    along the Ag Pool in a consent mode, and that is the
    reason we're here.
 9
10
             THE COURT: Ah, okay. All right.
11
             MR. GAGEN:
                         Your Honor --
             THE COURT: Yes, of course.
12
             MR. GAGEN: -- if I may? A couple things.
13
14
    the -- Watermaster is not asking this Court to just --
    Watermaster's not trying to give its blessing to the
15
    amendments that are being attached to the appeal party's
16
    motion. Watermaster is asking this Court to enforce three
17
    particular pages in these -- in this Court's 2017 order,
18
    again, in direct violation of CCP 916 and the Court of
19
    Appeal's order.
20
             THE COURT: Got it.
21
             MR. SLATER: Your Honor --
22
23
             THE COURT: Yes, of course.
             MR. SLATER: -- that -- that characterization
24
    means that the extent of replies -- joinders, replies,
25
26
    oppositions are irrelevant. Anything anybody else would
    say would be in excess of what the Court of -- Court of
27
```

Appeal ordered because if it wasn't in their papers or

```
their prayer, this Court couldn't consider it.
                                                     You have
1
    to think about that. How could that possibly be the case?
 2
             THE COURT: Okay. Here's -- here's an initial
 3
    summary or -- not a ruling, not even an intended ruling.
 4
    It's a summary of what I think -- what I've heard, so let
 5
    me see if I understand what the arguments are.
 6
             Mr. -- for Mr. Gagen's point of view, the
 7
 8
    remand -- I'll call it a partial remand, was to -- for the
    trial court, me, to determine and approve the particulars
 9
10
    of a settlement agreement that the parties on appeal made.
11
              For Mr. Slater's point of view, that -- there's
    more to it than that. And the more to it than that means
12
    that I need to look at how the settlement -- the approval
13
    of the settlement agreement affects the totality of the
14
    judgment and the rights -- and the rights of the parties
15
    pursuant to that judgment.
16
                          100 percent correct, your Honor.
17
             MR. SLATER:
    And their own moving papers -- the collective moving
18
    papers filed by all of them say that. One party, one
19
20
    appellant is making the argument that -- that Watermaster
    coming before you by a motion is exceeding the
21
    jurisdiction of the trial court.
22
23
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
             MR. GAGEN:
                         And, your Honor, if I may?
24
             THE COURT:
25
                         Of course.
26
             MR. GAGEN:
                         Watermaster is welcome to do so.
                                                            Tt.
    can do so by presenting testimonial evidence at the
27
    hearing on the 15th supporting the appeal party's motion;
28
```

```
right? Mr. Kavounas can appeal -- appear?
 1
             THE COURT:
                         No.
                               I'll tell you right now that's
 2
    not going to happen. There's no -- there is no way that
 3
    the Court is going to take any evidence -- I've been
 4
    through this before. And there's no way the Court's going
 5
    to take any live testimony in any -- any motion under any
 6
    circum- -- in any circumstances of which I can conceive of
 7
 8
    now.
             I can't predict what will happen in the future,
 9
    but I can tell you for now there's -- I issued an order on
10
11
    this previously having to do with the -- was it the -- the
    approval -- I can't remember the particular motion on
12
    which there was -- that was the approval of the 483 set or
13
14
    something?
             MR. SLATER:
                          Yes, you are correct, your Honor.
15
    We had offered a narrative to explain that -- the context
16
17
    and -- and we had concerns about that, of course --
             THE COURT: Yes.
18
             MR. SLATER: -- as you'll recall --
19
             THE COURT:
                         Yeah, I turned --
20
             MR. SLATER: -- and it's been disposed.
21
             THE COURT: -- I turned it down because I did not
22
23
    want to augment the record with testimony, and I'm not
24
    gonna do that now.
                         And if I may?
2.5
             MR. GAGEN:
26
             THE COURT:
                         Sure.
             MR. GAGEN: And I understand that -- well, now
27
    I understand that, your Honor.
28
```

THE COURT: Okay. 1 MR. GAGEN: But -- so Watermaster chose a route 2 to move this Court to do something. This Court doesn't 3 have jurisdiction to do what it's being moved to do by 4 Watermaster because it is stayed. It doesn't have the 5 jurisdiction to do so except to hear one motion and one 6 motion only, the appeal party's motion. 7 THE COURT: Got it. I think I understand now 8 much better than I did. So I appreciate your appearance 9 10 in person, Mr. Gagen. And I appreciate your appearance in 11 person, Mr. Slater. This must have been a short term -- I can almost hear the arms waving in Santa Barbara for 12 both -- that's where you both are from -- no, Irvine and 13 14 Santa Barbara -- along the lines of "What is this?" and --MR. SLATER: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: That was -- yes. That was kind of my 16 17 reaction too. MR. SLATER: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: So now I know what it is, and I 19 appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Gagen, for your insight 20 and argument. It's illuminating. 21 Thank you, Mr. Slater, for your insight and 22 23 argument. MR. SLATER: Thank you for your time this 24 2.5 morning. 26 THE COURT: Also illuminating. So the next question -- anyone else before I move 27 Yes, Ms. Egoscue -- Egoscue. 28 on?

MS. EGOSCUE: Thank you, your Honor. 1 briefly, since we are here appearing on behalf of an 2 ex parte motion, I just wanted to briefly address the 3 Court regarding the perceived damage that is claimed by 4 Mr. Gagen on behalf of his client. 5 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 6 MS. EGOSCUE: And I would argue that we've seen 7 8 you twice recently. Once on the 5th of December, and once on the 28th. 9 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MS. EGOSCUE: On the 5th of December, which we have a transcript for, both Mr. Gagen and Mr. Gutierrez on 12 behalf of the City of Chino both represented to your Honor 13 14 that there was a grand bargain coming to you in the form of a settlement. 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 17 MS. EGOSCUE: In fact Mr. Gagen argued in opposition to the non-Ag Pool claiming that there was a 18 grand bargain that was coming which precluded the need for 19 the non-Ag Pool to see you before that bargain. 20 Mr. Gutierrez argued against the Ag Pool's writ, 21 which, as you recall, your Honor took off calendar, also 22 23 claiming that the Ag Pool's writ merely requested the Court to order what the Court had already ordered in your 24 April 28th, 2017, order. 2.5 26 THE COURT: All right. MS. EGOSCUE: So in closing, because I'm trying 27 to get as close to ten words as possible --

```
THE COURT:
                         Thank you. I always appreciate
 1
    lawyers who listen. Thank you, Miss Egoscue.
 2
             MS. EGOSCUE: -- by a factor of ten, of course.
 3
                        Perfectly acceptable in this case.
             THE COURT:
 4
             MS. EGOSCUE:
                           The moving party is misrepresenting
 5
    what is going on to this Court.
 6
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
 7
 8
             MS. EGOSCUE: And what I would argue is that it
    would be entirely appropriate for you to rule against this
 9
    ex parte application and proceed by the briefing schedule
10
11
    that you have already set, which we very much look forward
    to seeing you and having a hearing regarding in March.
12
             Thank you.
13
                         Thank you, Miss Egoscue.
14
             THE COURT:
             Anyone else in the courtroom want to add argument
15
    at this time? No hands? It's like picking a jury.
16
17
    hands?
            Okay.
             On the phone, Ms. Grady, anything that you wanted
18
    to add? Are you still there?
19
             MS. GRADY: No, your Honor.
20
             THE COURT:
21
                         Okay.
                                 Thanks.
             Okay.
                    Did Mr. Hubsch ever join us? No? Okay.
22
23
    Just thought I'd ask.
             Okay. I'm not prepared to rule, as I stated
24
2.5
    initially.
26
             The next question is, does anyone else who's here
    or is anyone else aware of anyone else who wants to file a
27
    written opposition? Going once. Going twice.
28
```

```
MS. EGOSCUE: Your Honor --
 1
             THE COURT: Oh, Miss Egoscue.
 2
             MS. EGOSCUE: -- if we do, I'd prefer that you
 3
    just take this and dispose of it, this matter. But if you
 4
    would like to have briefing, I do have a draft that I was
 5
    unable to submit by two o'clock yesterday.
 6
                                                 So -- but
    I would prefer that you just dispose of this motion and we
 7
 8
    see you in regular course.
             THE COURT: I understand and appreciate that, but
 9
    as I -- I'm not gonna rule today. And if you've got
10
11
    something drafted, please file it, and then I'll give a
    chance -- Mr. Gagen a chance to respond.
12
             MR. GAGEN: Thank you, your Honor.
13
14
             THE COURT: You're welcome.
             MR. SLATER: Your Honor, we don't have anything
15
    else further to respond or to say on this point. I think
16
17
    two documents which will be of interest to you is the
    Court -- Appellate Court order of December 21st, which is
18
    not in Mr. Gagen's filings.
19
             THE COURT: Right. Okay.
20
             MR. SLATER: So we'll give you that.
21
             And then also you can peruse Watermaster's actual
22
23
    resolution --
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
24
             MR. SLATER: -- which is attached to our motion I
25
26
    guess.
             THE COURT:
27
                         Okay.
             MR. SLATER: So just -- just the Court of Appeal.
28
```

THE COURT: Okay. 1 I'm sorry. Actually, the application 2 MR. GAGEN: for the Court is before the Court to not consider -- or 3 decide and not even consider Watermaster's motion. 4 Right. I'm not doing anything. I'm 5 THE COURT: not making any rulings right now on this ex parte 6 application, so that's clear. 7 MR. GAGEN: But Watermaster's inviting this Court 8 to in fact dig into its motion that's on calendar. We're 9 10 asking the Court to not even do that. 11 THE COURT: Well, that leaves me a little speechless because I have to look at the underlying motion 12 in order to figure out whether I -- I should stay it. 13 14 Okay. But you don't, your Honor. MR. GAGEN: 15 jurisdictional argument. 16 17 THE COURT: I understand that, too, but from your point of view. From your point of view, it's 18 jurisdictional, but maybe not from mine, and I have to 19 figure that out. Okay. 20 And, Mr. Herrema, did you want to add something? 21 MR. HERREMA: Watermaster's resolution is also 22 23 attached to the six appeal parties' motion. THE COURT: Okay. 24 So --MR. SLATER: Your Honor, the only thing then --25 26 the only thing is, which you can take judicial notice of, you don't have to dig into it, it's available to you, it's 27

a Court of Appeal letter from the 21st. So that's the

```
only thing that we give you.
 1
             THE COURT: Okay. But that's not here.
 2
             MR. SLATER: Correct. It was not in their
 3
    papers.
 4
             THE COURT: And you don't have it in your motion
 5
    or your filing papers?
 6
             MR. SLATER: We -- we weren't -- we were aware of
 7
 8
    it now. I'm gonna make it available to you.
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
                                 Thanks.
 9
             So here we go. Since -- I'm gonna make a
10
11
    short-term supplemental briefing for you to file that,
    then, Mr. Slater.
12
             MR. SLATER:
13
                          Today.
14
             THE COURT: Thank you. Four p.m. today.
             Next, I'm gonna -- since -- Miss Egoscue, let me
15
    come back to you for a moment.
16
17
             About how much more time do you need to finalize
    your response and get it filed with the court?
18
             MS. EGOSCUE: Your Honor, I can do that by 4 p.m.
19
    today.
20
             THE COURT: Wow.
21
                               Okay.
             MS. EGOSCUE:
                           Thank you.
22
23
             THE COURT:
                         Thank you.
             All right. So we've got two -- two more things
24
    coming in to be served and filed by 4 p.m. today, served
25
26
    in the usual course to Watermaster to be distributed.
             MR. SLATER: Yes, your Honor.
27
                         And then I'm gonna set Mr. Gagen's
28
             THE COURT:
```

```
response -- holy moly. Hang on just a sec. How about
 1
    this: How about if I have Mr. Gagen's response due by
 2
    Thursday at 4 p.m.?
 3
             Can you get it done by then, Mr. Gagen?
 4
             MR. GAGEN: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
 5
                          And, your Honor, to be clear, is it
             MR. SLATER:
 6
    response again, or is it a response to the two documents
 7
 8
    or --
             THE COURT: Oh, just a response to the two
 9
10
    documents.
11
             MR. SLATER: -- the letters?
             THE COURT: Yes, only a response to the initial
12
    briefing, not --
13
14
             MR. SLATER: Okay.
             THE COURT: -- not expanding it again.
15
             MR. SLATER: Okay. Thank you.
16
17
             THE COURT: I'm trying to focus. As they say,
    focus, focus.
18
                    So just a response to Miss Egoscue and
19
    whatever else you filed --
20
             MR. SLATER: The document.
21
             THE COURT: -- the document, Mr. Slater.
22
23
             And then -- and please provide a courtesy copy
    directly to the courtroom because it looks like I know
24
    what I'm going to be doing over the two days I had planned
2.5
26
    off the week of the -- the 11th -- the week of the 11th.
             So what I intend to do, then, is set another
27
    hearing for this one week -- two weeks from today, which
28
```

```
should be enough time for everyone, depending on what my
 1
    ruling, to know what to do with respect to going forward
 2
    on the briefing with respect to the motion that we've
 3
    already got by Mr. Tanaka, which is the motion to self to
 4
    approve the settlement, which I got. That was filed
 5
    January 15, and a response.
 6
             MR. SLATER:
                         Your Honor?
 7
 8
             THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Slater.
             MR. SLATER: Okay. I just want to make you aware
 9
    of the calendar.
10
             THE COURT: Yes, please.
11
             MR. SLATER: All right. So under your present
12
    order there's a motion --
13
14
             THE COURT: Right.
             MR. SLATER: -- that -- that we have filed.
15
             THE COURT: Right.
16
17
             MR. SLATER: Responses are due on February 13th.
             THE COURT: Oh, heavens. Okay. That's what I
18
    couldn't remember.
                        Thank you. I'm gonna fix that today.
19
             MR. SLATER:
                         Yeah.
20
             THE COURT:
21
                         Okay.
             MR. SLATER: The only -- the only -- I think I
22
    would actually waive filing the additional information,
23
    although I think it would be beneficial for the Court.
24
             THE COURT: Yeah, I need to know.
2.5
26
             MR. SLATER:
                          Okay.
             THE COURT:
                         So here's what we're going to do, and
27
    as you know, my general approach to this case is -- and I
28
```

say this without a hint of rebuke or reproach, we're still discussing the 2010 reset. It's 2019. We've got -- we've got a 2020 reset analysis coming up next year.

2.5

And so this -- this is -- maybe you've heard me say this before, but I'll say it again. In cases that have been pending for a long time, and this one has, you can draw two very reasonable conclusions from that fact.

One conclusion is, this has been pending so long, we need to get to it right away. The other, this has been pending so long, another month or two isn't going to make much difference. I'm choosing option two, another month or two isn't going to make much difference because I can almost -- and I say this again without a hint of rebuke or reproach, this is probably going to be for the Court of Appeal again depending on what I rule. I don't know.

And -- and that's been on appeal for almost two years, so -- and I say that without a hint of rebuke or reproach. It's just the facts of the matter that we're dealing with. So I'm gonna revamp the schedule for the hearing on the settlement and reschedule the date and the briefing schedule now.

MR. BUNN: Your Honor, may I be heard on that?

THE COURT: Sure. Let me get your appearance, though, one more time.

MR. BUNN: Sure. Thomas Bunn for the City of Pomona. I'm one of the six parties that filed the motion --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BUNN: -- to approve the settlement, and we would be opposed to moving the March 15th hearing. I don't think that the briefing dates are so critical.

2.5

But Mr. Gagen and Mr. Slater are arguing about a very narrow issue, and I don't think this should be permitted to stop the -- the progress of the approved settlement, which we've been working on now, as you've noted, for a long time.

THE COURT: Oh, yes. Oh, yes.

Well, here's the problem. For Mr. Gagen's point of view, it's a narrow issue. For Mr. Slater's point of view, it isn't. And I don't know. Maybe it is and maybe it isn't. But the last thing I want to do is start creating extra problems for myself, for the Court of Appeal, or for counsel by rushing into something that another three weeks or so is -- might help me and the -- me resolve in a more thoughtful way, and the Court of Appeal to have a better record in terms of what it may have to do depending upon what my ruling might be.

So I appreciate, Mr. Bunn, your argument, but it's not persuasive to me today for those reasons. Thank you. So the objection noted but overruled.

So here we go. What I'm thinking about actually doing is, is vacating the current briefing schedule and the hearing date for the motion -- no, no, I'm not gonna do that. No, I'm not gonna do that.

MR. SLATER: Motion to file.

THE COURT: Yeah, motion to file, so that's not

the right way to go. Sorry. 1 What I'm going to do is, is reconsider the 2 briefing schedule. I'm -- the briefs in response to 3 Mr. Tanaka's motion are not going to be due on the 13th of 4 February. Let me start with that. Okay. 5 So Step 1, those briefs are not gonna be due on 6 the 13th. 7 On the 15th of Jan- -- of February, the -- the 8 next hearing on these ex parte -- on the ex parte I will 9 reset the hearing -- the briefing schedule for the 10 11 response for the opposition and the replies, and probably continue the hearing date depending upon what that 12 briefing schedule is. So no one -- and I hope you -- you 13 14 noticed that I do my very best not to jam counsel in terms of short deadlines and what the Court would consider from 15 my own days in practice unreasonable workloads to try to 16 17 get things done in a short amount of time. So with that understanding, I'm confirming any 18 order that the opposition to the motion that was filed 19 January 15 by Mr. Tanaka and Best, Best & Krieger on 20 behalf of Cucamonga Valley Water District are not going to 21 be due February 13th. I will reset that date at the 22 23 hearing on February 15. MR. SLATER: Your Honor, if it -- if it's 24 2.5

possible, I'm gonna be on the East Coast during the 15th. THE COURT: Oh, okay.

26

27

28

MR. SLATER: Any day the following week?

Sure. How about -- Mr. Bunn has a

THE COURT:

```
point, that we do need to get moving forward. How about I
1
    give you a hearing date, Tuesday, the 19th?
 2
                         Thank you, your Honor.
             MR. SLATER:
 3
                         Monday's a holiday. Again, I don't
             THE COURT:
 4
    want to interfere with people's vacation schedules if
 5
    anybody's going to take some time off, but the 18th is a
 6
    holiday.
              That's President's Day, and I can give you
 7
 8
    Tuesday, the 19th.
             MR. SLATER: Perfect, your Honor.
                                                 Thank you.
 9
10
             THE COURT: Okay. Let me just confirm that works
11
    for everybody else then.
             Any- -- anyone in the courtroom who has an
12
    objection, please raise his or her hand.
13
14
             No hands? Okay.
             I have to do it in the pick-the-jury method.
15
             Ms. Grady, on the phone, is that okay with you?
16
                         That's fine, your Honor. Thank you.
17
             MS. GRADY:
             THE COURT: Okay. And how about this, since I
18
    have people coming from a distance -- I probably have a
19
    trial scheduled on that afternoon of the 19th. Let me ask
20
    my judicial -- yes. But I will schedule you at 1:30 on
21
    the 19th then --
22
23
             MR. SLATER:
                          Thank you, your Honor.
             THE COURT: You're welcome -- so that those of
24
    you who are coming from a distance can make it a day trip
25
26
    and don't have to get up at 09- -- 06:30 in the morning to
    try to get here on time. I appreciate that.
27
                          We appreciate that, your Honor.
28
             MR. SLATER:
```

```
THE COURT:
                         Okay. So it will be -- the next
 1
    hearing on the ex parte -- give me just a moment.
 2
             JUDICIAL ASSISTANT: It's scheduled for two days.
 3
    Did we want to have it then on the February the 20th?
 4
    Then that way we can give them --
 5
                         Yes. Actually, if you don't mind,
             THE COURT:
 6
    let me bump it one more day to Wednesday, the 20th, so the
 7
 8
    people that I have coming in on the 19th for trial will
    know what the schedule is for the next day if that works
 9
    for everybody? If not, we'll keep it on the 19th.
10
             MR. SLATER: It does, your Honor.
11
             MR. GAGEN:
                         That's fine, your Honor.
12
             THE COURT:
                         Any objection? Any hands?
13
                                                     No hands.
14
             On the phone, Miss Grady?
                         No objection, your Honor.
             MS. GRADY:
15
             THE COURT:
                         I couldn't hear you.
16
                            "No objection, your Honor."
17
             THE REPORTER:
             THE COURT: Oh, no objection?
18
                         That's fine, your Honor.
             MS. GRADY:
19
                         Okay. Thanks. Okay.
             THE COURT:
20
                         So we're set to go now Wednesday
21
             All right.
    afternoon, February 20, at 1:30 p.m., for the next hearing
22
23
    on this. And then I will reset the briefing schedule, and
    I will probably continue the motion to a date based on
24
    that briefing schedule depending upon what my rulings are.
2.5
26
    And everyone will know what they then need to do and when
    when you come back on the 20th of February at 1:30.
27
             How does that sound?
28
```

```
MR. SLATER: Thank you, your Honor.
 1
             THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Slater and Mr. Herrema?
 2
             MR. HERREMA: Yes, sir.
 3
             THE COURT: Mr. Gagen?
 4
             MR. GAGEN: Thank you, your Honor. That sounds
 5
    good.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Anybody else in the courtroom, then,
    want to be heard before I wrap up this hearing? I guess
 8
 9
    not.
             MR. SLATER: We'll offer to provide notice, your
10
11
    Honor.
             THE COURT: Thank you very much.
12
             Thank you, everyone. Thank you for your insight,
13
14
    argument. And one more thing?
             JUDICIAL ASSISTANT: Who said that?
15
16
             THE COURT: Mr. Slater did, yes.
17
             Okay. All right. Thank you again, everyone.
             See you on the 20th.
18
             (End of hearing at 9:13 a.m.)
19
                              --000--
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO000		
2			
3			
4			
5	DEPARTMENT S35 HONORABLE STANFORD REICHERT, JUDGE		
6	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER) CASE NO. RCVRS 51010)		
7	Petitioner,)		
8	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,		
9) Defendants.)		
10)		
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)		
12) ss. COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO)		
13	OCCUPATION DERIVING THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER		
14			
15			
16	I, Kerry K. Montuori, Pro Tem Reporter of the		
17	Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, do		
18	hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 1 through 23, to		
19	the best of my knowledge and belief, comprise a full,		
20	true, and correct computer-aided transcript of the		
21	proceedings taken in the matter of the above-entitled		
22	cause held on February 1, 2019.		
23	Dated this 9th day of February, 2019.		
24			
25			
26			
27	Pro Tem Reporter, CSR-11516		
28			

